



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,687 11/04/2003		11/04/2003	Stephen Kaminski	Q78089	4929
23373	7590	03/16/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRU			LA, NICHOLAS T		
2100 PENN SUITE 800		NIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				2687	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•		10/699,687	KAMINSKI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Nicholas T. La	2687					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address					
Period for			O) OF THETY (OO) DAYO					
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 No	ovember 2003.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x рапе Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 40)3 U.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
		·						
Application	on Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		ted to by the Evenines					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
,-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
+ 0	application from the International Bureau		ad					
· S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	;a.					
Attachment			(770.440)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
/3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 7 is directed to "a computer program product, such as a digital storage medium, comprising computer program means for performing the steps of" an invention. A claim of an invention should be drawn to one of the following: process, machine, manufacture, and composition of matters. Applicant is advised to refer to MPEP section 2106 and make necessary amendment to the preamble of the claim to overcome the rejection and to make statutory for patentability under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2) Claims 1-4, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood (US Patent No. 5,412,375) and further in view of Hsu et al. (US Patent No. 6,169,898).

Regarding **claim 1**, Wood teaches a telecommunication method comprising the steps of:

selecting a sub-set of air interfaces from a set of air interfaces, the sub-set containing air interfaces (Col. 2, line 37 to 53),

providing the sub-set to a node of a radio access network having the set of air interfaces (Col. 2, line 37 to 53),

selecting an air interface from the sub-set by the node (Col. 2, line 54 to 57).

However, Wood does not explicitly teach a method comprising receiving of a required quality of service parameter set from the core network by a radio network controller as well as for the purpose of providing the required quality of service to the user equipment. In an analogous art, Hsu et al. teaches apparatus, and associated method, for maintaining a selected quality of service level in a radio communication system. Hsu et al. further specifically teaches a method of receiving of a required quality of service parameter set from a core network by a radio network controller and to maintain the level quality of service respectively (Figure 1 shows structure of the system, which includes a core net work comprising a HLR 28 and MSC 24 and theirs associated elements, radio network controller 22 and its associated elements; Col. 4, line 65 to Col. 5, line

Art Unit: 2684

10; Col. 6, line 7 to line 39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wood method of selecting air interface to include the requirement of maintaining the level of quality of service such as taught by Hsu et al. in order to have resources better allocated at respective node to give better service.

Regarding **claim 2**, Wood further teaches a method further comprising receiving of a monitoring list by the radio network controller, the monitoring list containing the set of air interfaces by means of which the node can actually establish a telecommunication link with the user equipment (Figure 4, Col. 2, line 26 to 36; Col. 3, line 44 to 50).

Regarding **claim 3**, Wood further teaches a method further comprising the steps of:

receiving of data being indicative of at least one of the air interfaces of the set of air interfaces, the at least one interface having no more free data transmission capacity (Col. 2, line 37 to 68 as the resources for the selected air interface may have been assigned for another call),

eliminating the at least one air interface from the sub-set (Figure 1, step 17 to 24; Col. 2, line 37 to 68 eliminating as rejecting of selected air interface).

Regarding **claim 4**, Wood further teaches a method, whereby the selection of the air interface is performed by the node based on load balancing

and/or actual availability of the air interfaces (Col. 2, line 37 to 68; Col. 3, line 44 to 50; base 44 selects the selected air interface from the list of compatible interfaces and if there are resources of the air interface is available, otherwise rejected and notify the controller).

Regarding **claim 7**, claim 7 is a computer program product with computer program means necessary to implement the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 7 is rejected for the same reason.

Regarding **claim 8**, claim 8 is an apparatus claim for a radio network controller of a radio access network necessary to implement the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 8 is rejected for the same reason as claim 1.

Regarding **claim 9**, claim 9 is an apparatus claim for a node of a radio access network necessary to implement the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 8 is rejected for the same reason as claim 1.

Regarding **claim 10**, claim 10 is a system claim for the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 10 is rejected for the same reason.

3) Claims 5-6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood (US Patent No. 5,412,375) in view of Hsu et al. (US Patent No. 6,169,898) and further in view of Kallio (US Pub. No. 2002/0147008).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding **claim 5**, Wood and Hsu et al. teach a telecommunication method to select a subset of air interfaces to provide a required quality of service to user equipment. However, Wood and Hsu et al. does not teach a method further comprising the steps of:

establishing a first telecommunication link by means of the selected one of the set of air interfaces and sending of data frames having a first data frame format of the selected air interface,

mapping of the first data frame format to a second data frame format of an alternative one of the set of air interfaces,

replacing of the selected air interface by the alternative interface and sending of the mapped data frames having the second air interface format via a second telecommunication link which has been established by means of the alternative air interface.

In an analogous art, Kallio teaches a GSM networks and solutions for providing seamless mobility between GSM networks and different radio networks. Kallio further teaches a method of having ongoing call via GSM cell using call protocol used for GSM network (paragraph [0032], [0043]), mapping the call protocols that used for GSM network and WLAN network (paragraph [0043]), and releasing the reserved resources the support GSM air interface for a replacing WLAN using a call protocol used for WLAN and "starts to used the WLAN radio" (paragraph [0050]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Wood

Art Unit: 2684

and Hsu method to include the method of providing seamless mobility between a GSM network to WLAN network such as taught by Kallio where area high quality of service is desirable.

Regarding **claim 6**, Wood, Hsu et al., and Kallio do not explicitly teach selected air interface being an UMTS air interface, the first air interface format being HSDPA, the alternative air interface being WLAN and the second air interface format being WLAN frames. However, Kallio teaches selected air interface being an GSM air interface, the first air interface format being call protocol supported by GSM, the alternative air interface being WLAN and the second air interface format being call protocol supported by WLAN. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to enhance flexibility by another method given other possibilities such as selected air interface being an UMTS air interface, the first air interface format being HSDPA, the alternative air interface being WLAN and the second air interface format being WLAN frames.

Reference Cited

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gopikanth (US Patent No. 6,799,038) discloses a method and apparatus for wireless network selection.

Art Unit: 2684

Stumpert et al. (US Pub. No. 2004/0157600) discloses a method for determining whether to grant access of a user equipment to a radio access network.

Bridges et al. (US Patent No. 6,546,246) discloses an intelligent roaming system with over the air programming.

Dunn et al. (US Patent No. 6,591,103) discloses a wireless telecommunications system and method of operation providing user's carrier selection in overlapping hetergenous networks.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas T. La whose telephone number is (571)-272-8075. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571)-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nicholas La

NICK CORSARO BIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2684

Page 10